arXiv:math/0610443v1 [math.DS] 14 Oct 2006

On the Invariance of Li-Yorke Chaos of Interval Maps

Bau-Sen Du

Institute of Mathematics
Academia Sinica
Taipei 11529, Taiwan
dubs@math.sinica.edu.tw

Abstract

In their celebrated "Period three implies chaos" paper, Li and Yorke proved that if a continuous interval map f has a period 3 point then there is an uncountable scrambled set S on which f has very complicated dynamics. One question arises naturally: Can this set S be chosen invariant under f? The answer is positive for turbulent maps and negative otherwise. In this note, we shall use symbolic dynamics to achieve our goal. In particular, we obtain that the tent map $T(x) = 1 - |2x - 1|$ on $[0, 1]$ has a dense uncountable invariant 1-scrambled set which consists of transitive points.

1 Introduction.

Let I be a real compact interval and let $f : I \longrightarrow I$ be a continuous map. For each integer $n \geq 1$, let f^n be defined by: $f^1 = f$ and $f^n = f \circ f^{n-1}$ when $n \geq 2$. For every c in I, we call the set $O_f(c) = \{ f^k(c) \mid k \geq 0 \}$ the *orbit* of c (under f) and call c a *periodic point* of f with least period m if $f^m(c) = c$ and $f^i(c) \neq c$ whenever $0 < i < m$. It is well known [**1**], [**3**], [**6**] that if f has a periodic point of least period not a power of 2, then there exist a number $\delta > 0$ and an uncountable (called δ-scrambled) set S of f such that (i) for any $x \neq y$ in S, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |f^n(x) - f^n(y)| \geq \delta$ and $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |f^n(x) - f^n(y)| = 0$; and (ii) for any x in S and any periodic point p of f, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |f^n(x) - f^n(p)| \geq \delta/2$. One question arises naturally: Can S be chosen invariant under f? The answer is negative. Indeed, for any continuous map f from $[0, 1]$ into itself, we define another continuous map F from $[0, 3]$ into itself by letting $F(x) = f(x) + 2$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$; $F(x) = (2 - x)[f(1) + 2]$ for $1 \leq x \leq 2$; and $F(x) = x - 2$ for $2 \leq x \leq 3$. This function F is generally called the *square root of* f and the process from f to F is called the *square root construction*. If F has a non-empty invariant scrambled set S, then since every non-fixed point of $(1, 2)$ will spiral out and eventually enter $[0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$ and since $F([0, 1]) \subset [2, 3]$ and $F([2, 3]) = [0, 1]$, we see that $S \cap [0, 1] \neq \emptyset$ and $S \cap [2, 3] \neq \emptyset$. Choose any x in $S \cap [0, 1]$ and any y in $S \cap [2, 3]$. Since, for each $n \geq 1$, $f^n(x)$ and $f^n(y)$ lie on opposite sides of $[1, 2]$, we obtain that

$\liminf_{n\to\infty} |f^n(x) - f^n(y)| \ge 1$. Therefore, F cannot have a non-empty invariant scrambled set. In this note, we shall use symbolic dynamics to show that if f is turbulent then f has an uncountable invariant scrambled set in the recurrent set. Some related problems are also discussed.

2 Turbulent maps have uncountable invariant scrambled sets.

We say that f is *turbulent* [**1**, p.25] if there exist two closed subintervals J_0 and J_1 of I with at most one common point such that $f(J_0) \cap f(J_1) \supset J_0 \cup J_1$. We say that f is *strictly turbulent* if J_0 and J_1 can be chosen disjoint. Let f be a turbulent map with J_0 and J_1 as stated above and $\max J_0 \le \min J_1$. Since $f(J_0) \supset J_0 \cup J_1$, there exist two points u and v in J_0 such that $f(u) = \min J_0$ and $f(v) = \max J_1$. Without loss of generality, suppose $u < v$. Let $z = \max\{\, x \in [u, v] \mid f(x) = x \,\}$, $b = \min\{\, v \le x \le \max J_1 \mid f(x) = z \,\}$, $c = \min\{\, z < x \le v \mid f(x) = b \,\}$ and $a = \max\{\, v \le x \le b \mid f(x) = b \,\}$. Then $z < c \le a < b$ and we obtain [**1**, p.26] that (i) $f(z) = z = f(b)$ and $f(c) = b = f(a)$; (ii) $x < f(x) < b$ for $z < x < c$; and (iii) $z < f(x) < b$ for $a < x < b$. Let $I(0) = [z, c]$ and $I(1) = [a, b]$. Then $I(0)$ and $I(1)$ have at most one point in common and $f(I(0)) \cap f(I(1)) \supset I(0) \cup I(1)$.

For $\alpha_i = 0$ or 1, let $I(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$ be any closed subinterval of $I(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1})$ of minimum length [**1**], [**5**] such that $f(I(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)) = I(\alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n)$. Hence, f maps the endpoints of $I(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$ onto those of $I(\alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n)$ and maps the interior of $I(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$ onto the interior of $I(\alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n)$. Let $\Sigma_2 = \{\, \alpha \mid \alpha = \alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots,$ where $\alpha_i = 0$ or $1 \,\}$ be the metric space with metric d defined by $d(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots, \; \beta_0\beta_1 \cdots) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_i - \beta_i|/2^{i+1}$ and let σ be the shift map defined by $\sigma(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots) = \alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots$. For any $\alpha = \alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots$ in Σ_2, let $I(\alpha) = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$. Then each $I(\alpha) \subset I(\alpha_0)$ is either a compact interval or consists of one point. Furthermore, $f(I(\alpha)) = I(\sigma\alpha)$ and f maps the endpoints of $I(\alpha)$ onto those of $I(\sigma\alpha)$ if both $I(\alpha)$ and $I(\sigma\alpha)$ are nondegenerate intervals. So, if $\alpha \in \Sigma_2$ is a periodic point of σ with least period m and if $I(\alpha) = [x, y]$ (x may equal y), then both x and y are periodic points of f with least period m or $2m$. Now let $\bar{0} \in \Sigma_2$ denote the sequence consisting of all 0's. Then $f(I(\bar{0})) = I(\bar{0}) \subset I(0)$. Since z is the unique fixed point of f in $I(0)$ and $z = \min I(0)$, $I(\bar{0}) = [z, w]$ for some w in $I(0)$. Since $x < f(x)$ for all $z < x$ in $I(0)$, we actually have $I(\bar{0}) = \{\, z \,\}$. Since $f(I(1\bar{0})) = I(\bar{0}) = \{\, z \,\}$ and since b is the only point in $I(1)$ mapping to z, we obtain that $I(1\bar{0}) = \{\, b \,\}$. Note that, if $c < a$, then $I(\alpha)$ and $I(\beta)$ are disjoint for any $\alpha \ne \beta$ in Σ_2. If $c = a$ and $I(\alpha) \cap I(\beta) \ne \emptyset$ for some $\alpha \ne \beta$ in Σ_2, then for some $k \ge 0$ and $\gamma_i = 0$ or 1, $0 \le i \le k-1$, we have $\{\alpha, \beta\} = \{\gamma_0\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k-1}01\bar{0}, \gamma_0\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k-1}11\bar{0}\}$ [**5**, p.274].

Let α be a point of Σ_2 whose expansion $\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots$ contains every finite sequence of 0's and 1's. Then α has a dense orbit in Σ_2 (points with dense orbits are called *transitive points*). For any integer $k \ge 1$, let 0^k (1^k respectively) denote the finite sequence of k consecutive 0's (1's respectively). For any $\beta = \beta_0\beta_1 \cdots$ in Σ_2, let

$$\omega_\beta = \alpha_0\beta_0\, 1 \;\; \alpha_0\alpha_1\beta_0 0\beta_1 0\, 10 \;\; \cdots \;\; \alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k\beta_0 0^k\beta_1 0^k \cdots \beta_k 0^k\, 10^k \;\; \cdots .$$

Then $f^{m(m+1)(2m+7)/6}(I(\omega_\beta)) \subset I(\alpha_0\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_m\beta_0 0^m\beta_1 0^m \cdots \beta_m 0^m\, 10^m)$ for any $m \ge 1$. Since there can have at most countably many $\eta = \eta_0\eta_1 \cdots$ in Σ_2 such that $I(\eta)$ is an interval, there exists an uncountable subset B of Σ_2 such that if β is in B then $I(\omega_\beta)$ consists of exactly one point, say, $I(\omega_\beta) = \{ x_\beta \}$. Let W be the collection of such points x_β for all β in B and let $S = \bigcup_{i \ge 0} f^i(W)$. Then S is an uncountable invariant set of f.

For any $m \ge 1$, let $s_m = m(m+1)(2m+7)/6$. For any β, γ, and η in Σ_2 with $\beta \neq \gamma$, if $\beta_k \neq \gamma_k$ for some $k \ge 0$, then for any $i \ge 0$ and all $m > k$, $f^{s_m+(k+1)(m+1)-i}(f^i(x_\beta)) \in I(\beta_k 0^m)$ and $f^{s_m+(k+1)(m+1)-i}(f^i(x_\gamma)) \in I(\gamma_k 0^m)$. Therefore, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |f^n(f^i(x_\beta)) - f^n(f^i(x_\gamma))| \ge \text{dist}(I(\bar{0}), I(1\bar{0})) = |z-b| > 0$. Furthermore, for any $0 \le i < j$ and all $m > j$, $f^{s_{m+1}-m-1-i}(f^i(x_\beta)) \in I(10^m)$ and $f^{s_{m+1}-m-1-i}(f^j(x_\eta)) \in I(0^{m-j+i+1})$. Thus, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |f^n(f^i(x_\beta)) - f^n(f^j(x_\eta))| \ge |z-b|$. This shows that, for any $x \neq y$ in S, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |f^n(x) - f^n(y)| \ge |z-b|$. On the other hand, we have $f^{s_{m+1}-m-i}(f^i(x_\beta)) \in I(0^m) \subset I(0^{m+i-j})$, $f^{s_{m+1}-m-i}(f^i(x_\gamma)) \in I(0^m) \subset I(0^{m+i-j})$, and $f^{s_{m+1}-m-i}(f^j(x_\eta)) \in I(0^{m+i-j})$. Since the sequence $< I(0^{m+i-j}) >$ shrinks to the fixed point z, we obtain that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |f^n(f^i(x_\beta)) - f^n(f^i(x_\gamma))| = 0$ and $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |f^n(f^i(x_\beta)) - f^n(f^j(x_\eta))| = 0$. This shows that, for any $x \neq y$ in S, $\liminf_{n\to\infty} |f^n(x) - f^n(y)| = 0$. Since, for any x in S, the iterates $f^n(x)$ approach b infinitely often and stay as close to the fixed point z of f for as long as we wish, we obtain that, for any periodic point p of f, $\limsup_{n\to\infty} |f^n(x) - f^n(p)| \ge |z-b|/2$. This proves that S is an uncountable invariant $|b-z|$-scrambled set of f.

Since, for every β in B, ω_β is a transitive point of Σ_2 and so, the iterates $\sigma^n(\omega_\beta)$ approach every γ in Σ_2 infinitely many times. Consequently, the iterates $f^n(x_\beta)$ of the unique point x_β of $I(\omega_\beta)$ approach the set $I(\gamma)$ infinitely many times. Therefore, the ω-limit set $\omega(x_\beta, f)$ contains $I(1\bar{0}) \cup I(\bar{0}) = \{b, z\}$ and contains the point x_γ for all $\gamma \in B$ and at least one endpoint of $I(\omega_\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \notin B$. In particular, $x_\beta \in \omega(x_\beta, f)$ (such points x_β are called *recurrent points*). Therefore, we have obtained the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let f be a turbulent map. Then there exist a fixed point z of f, a point $b \neq z$ with $f(b) = z$, and an uncountable invariant $|b-z|$-scrambled set $S \subset [z:b]$ in the recurrent set of f, where $[z:b]$ denotes the closed interval with z and b as endpoints, such that the set $\bigcap_{x\in S} \omega(x,f)$ contains $\{z,b\} \cup S$ and contains a periodic point of least period n or $2n$, for every positive integer n.*

By mimicking the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain the following result **[2]**.

Theorem 2. *Let $0 < a < 1$ and let f be a continuous map from $[0,1]$ onto itself such that (i) $f(0) = 0 = f(1)$ and $f(a) = 1$ and (ii) f is strictly increasing on $[0,a]$ and stricly decreasing on $[a,1]$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) *f has a dense orbit.*

(b) *f has dense periodic points.*

(c) *f has sensitive dependence on initial conditions; i.e., there exists a positive number δ such that for any point x in $[0,1]$ and any open neighborhood V of x there exist a point y in V and a positive integer n such that $|f^n(x) - f^n(y)| \ge \delta$.*

Furthermore, if f has a dense orbit, then f is topologically conjugate to the tent map $T(x) = 1 - |2x-1|$ on $[0,1]$ and f has a dense uncountable invariant 1-scrambled set which consists of transitive points.

3 Extensions to some non-turbulent maps.

Since the recurrent set of f^m is identical to that of f [**1**, p.82], we can, by mimicking the proof of Theorem 1, extend the result of Theorem 1 to some non-turbulent maps.

Theorem 3. *Let $g = f^m$, where $m \ge 2$. Assume that g is turbulent and let $z < c \le a < b$ be points such that (i) $g(z) = z = g(b)$ and $g(c) = b = g(a)$; (ii) $x < g(x) < b$ for $z < x < c$; and (iii) $z < g(x) < b$ for $a \le x < b$. If z is also a fixed point of f, then for some $\delta > 0$, f has an uncountable invariant δ-scrambled set W in the recurrent set of f such that the set $\bigcap_{x \in W} \omega(x, f)$ contains W and contains periodic points of f of arbitrarily large periods.*

Proof. Let $S \subset [z, b]$ be an uncountable invariant $|b - z|$-scrambled set of g obtained as described in the proof of Theorem 1 which is in the recurrent set of g. Let $W = \cup_{j=0}^{m-1} f^j(S)$. Let x and y be any two points in S and let $0 \le s < t \le m - 1$ be integers. Let $< k_i >$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers. If $\lim_{i\to\infty} g^{k_i}(x) = b$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} g^{k_i}(y) = z$, then since z is also a fixed point of f, $\lim_{i\to\infty} f^{k_i+t-s}(y) = z$. So, $\lim_{n\to\infty} |f^n(f^s(x) - f^n(f^t(y)| \ge \lim_{i\to\infty} |f^{mk_i}(x) - f^{mk_i+t-s}(y)| = |b - z|$. If $\lim_{i\to\infty} g^{k_i}(x) = z$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} g^{k_i}(y) = b$, then by resorting to subsequences of $< k_i >$ if necessary, we assume that, for some points u and v in $[z, c] \cup [a, b]$, $\lim_{i\to\infty} g^{k_i-1}(x) = u$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} g^{k_i-1}(y) = v$. Since z and b are the only two points in $[z, c] \cup [a, b]$ which are mapped to z under g, we see that $u = z$ or $u = b$. Consequently, $f^j(v) \ne u$ for all $1 \le j \le m - 1$. Let $\delta = \min\{\, |b - z|, |f^j(v) - u| \,\big|\, 1 \le j \le m - 1\,\}$. Then, $\lim_{n\to\infty} |f^n(f^s(x)) - f^n(f^t(y))| \ge \lim_{i\to\infty} |f^{m(k_i-1)}(x) - f^{m(k_i-1)+t-s}(y)| = \lim_{i\to\infty} |g^{k_i-1}(x) - f^{t-s}(g^{k_i-1}(y))| = |u - f^{t-s}(v)| \ge \delta$. The rest is easy and omitted. ■

Remark 1. Let $f(x)$ be a continuous map from $[-1, 1]$ onto itself defined by $f(x) = 2x + 2$ for $-1 \le x \le -1/2$; $f(x) = -2x$ for $-1/2 \le x \le 0$; and $f(x) = -x$ for $0 \le x \le 1$. Then, by Theorem 3, f has uncountable invariant scrambled sets although f is not turbulent.

4 Maps with periodic points of periods not a power of 2.

If there are closed subintervals J_0, J_1, $\cdots$, J_{n-1}, J_n of I with $J_n = J_0$ such that $f(J_i) \supset J_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n - 1$, then we say that $J_0 J_1 \cdots J_{n-1} J_0$ is a *cycle of length* n. It is well known that if $J_0 J_1 \cdots J_{n-1} J_0$ is a cycle of length n then there exists a periodic point y of f such that $f^i(y)$ belongs to J_i for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n - 1$ and $f^n(y) = y$. The following result is well known [**1**, p. 26]. Here we give a different proof which is interesting in its own right.

Theorem 4. *If f has a periodic point of odd period $m \ge 3$ and no periodic points of odd period k with $1 < k < m$, then any periodic orbit of f with least period m must be a Štefan orbit (see* [**1**, p. 11] *for the definition). Consequently, f^n is strictly turbulent for $n = 2$ and every $n \ge m$.*

Proof. Let $P = \{x_i \big| 1 \le i \le m\}$, with $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m$, be a periodic orbit of f with least period m. If $m = 3$, the proof is easy. So, suppose $m > 3$. Let $x_s = \max\{x \in P \big| x < f(x)\}$. Then $f(x_{s+1}) \le x_s$ and $x_{s+1} \le f(x_s)$ and so f has a fixed point z in $[x_s, x_{s+1}]$. Since m is odd, for some integer $1 \le t \le m-1$ and $t \ne s$, $f(x_t)$ and $f(x_{t+1})$ lie on opposite sides of z. For simplicity, we assume that $x_t < x_s$. If $x_{s+1} \le x_t$, the proof is similar.

If, for some $2 \le q \le m-3$, $f^q(x_s) \le x_t$, then let $J_i = [f^i(x_s) : z]$ for all $0 \le i \le q-1$ and consider the cycle $J_0 J_1 \cdots J_{q-1} [x_t, x_{t+1}] J_0 \cdots J_0$ of odd length $m-2$. If, for some $1 \le r \le m-3$, $f^r(x_s)$ and $f^{r+1}(x_s)$ lie on same side of z, then since f maps each of x_s and x_{s+1} to the other side of z, $f^r(x_s) \notin \{\, x_s, x_{s+1} \,\}$. Let $J_i = [f^i(x_s) : z]$ for all $0 \le i \le r-1$ and $J_r = [f^r(x_s), x_s]$ if $f^r(x_s) < x_s$ and $J_r = [x_{s+1}, f^r(x_s)]$ if $x_{s+1} < f^r(x_s)$ and consider the cycle $J_0 J_1 \cdots J_r J_0 \cdots J_0$ of odd length $m-2$. In either case, we obtain a periodic point of f of odd period strictly between 1 and m. This is a contradiction. So, $f^{m-1}(x_s) = x_t < f^i(x_s) < z < f^j(x_s)$ for all even i in $[0, m-3]$ and all odd j in $[1, m-2]$.

For all $0 \le i \le m-1$, let $J_i = [z : f^i(x_s)]$. If, for some $1 \le j < k \le m-1$ with $k-j$ even, $[z : f^k(x_s)] \subset [z : f^j(x_s)]$, then by considering the cycle $J_0 J_1 \cdots J_{j-1} J_k J_{k+1} \cdots\ J_{m-2}\ [x_t, x_{t+1}] J_0$ of odd length $m-k+j < m$, we obtain a periodic point of f of odd period strictly between 1 and m. This contradicts the assumption. So, we must have

$$f^{m-1}(x_s) < \cdots < f^4(x_s) < f^2(x_s) < x_s < f(x_s) < f^3(x_s) < \cdots < f^{m-2}(x_s).$$

That is, the orbit of x_s is a štefan orbit and $x_s < z < x_{s+1} = f(x_s)$. Let w be a point in $[f^{m-4}(x_s), f^{m-2}(x_s)]$ such that $f^2(w) = z$. Let $z < u < f^{m-4}(x_s) < v < w$ be points such that $f^2(u) = f^2(v) = w$. Let $J_0 = [z, u]$ and $J_1 = [v, w]$. Then $J_0 \cap J_1 = \emptyset$ and $f^2(J_0) \cap f^2(J_1) \supset J_0 \cup J_1$. So, f^2 is strictly turbulent. On the other hand, by direct computations, $f^{m-2}([x_s, f(x_s)]) \cap f^{m-1}([f^{m-1}(x_s), f^{m-3}(x_s)]) \supset [\min P, \max P]$. Thus, f^n is strictly turbulent for any $n \ge m-1$. ■

By Sharkovsky's theorem **[4]** and Theorems 1 & 4, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. *If f has a periodic point of least period $2^k \cdot m$, where $m \ge 3$ is odd and $k \ge 0$, then for $n = 2$ and every $n \ge m$ there exist a number $\delta_n > 0$ and an uncountable δ_n-scrambled set S_n of f in the recurrent set of f which is invariant under $f^{2^k \cdot n}$ such that $\bigcap_{x \in S_n} \omega(x, f)$ contains S_n and contains periodic points of f of arbitrarily large periods.*

Remark 2. For every positive integer i, let f_i be the continuous map from $[1, 2i+1]$ onto itself with the following eight properties: (1) $f_i(1) = i+1$; (2) $f_i(2) = 2i+1$; (3) $f_i(i+1) = i+2$; (4) $f_i(i+2) = i$; (5) $f_i(i+1+1/3) = i+1+2/3$; (6) $f_i(i+1+2/3) = i+1+1/3$; (7) $f_i(2i+1) = 1$; and (8) f_i is linear on each of the intervals: $[1,2]$, $[2, i+1]$, $[i+1, i+1+1/3]$, $[i+1+1/3, i+1+2/3]$, $[i+1+2/3, i+2]$ and $[i+2, 2i+1]$. Then $|f_i(x) - x| \ge 1/3$ for any point x in $[1, i+1+1/3]$ and in $[i+1+2/3, 2i+1]$ and $f_i^2(x) = x$ for all x in $[i+1+1/3, i+1+2/3]$. So, f_i cannot have any non-empty invariant scrambled set while $\{1, 2, \cdots, 2i+1\}$ is a Štefan orbit of f_i with least period $2i+1$. By successive applications to f_i of the square root construction as described in section 1, we see that the result in Theorem 5 is best possible in the sense that there exist continuous interval maps which have periodic points of least period $2^k \cdot m$ with odd $m \ge 3$, but no uncountable scrambled sets which are invariant under f^{2^k}.

5 The shift map on Σ_2 has dense uncountable invariant 1-scrambled sets.

Let $\alpha = \alpha_0\alpha_1\alpha_2\cdots$ be a transitive point of Σ_2. For any $\beta = \beta_0\beta_1\beta_2\cdots$ in Σ_2, let

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_\beta = \ & \alpha_0\beta_0\,(01)\,\alpha_0\alpha_1(\beta_0)^2(\beta_1)^2\,(01)^2(0011)^2\alpha_0\alpha_1\alpha_2(\beta_0)^3(\beta_1)^3(\beta_2)^3\,(01)^3(0011)^3(000111)^3 \\ & \alpha_0\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3(\beta_0)^4(\beta_1)^4(\beta_2)^4(\beta_3)^4\,(01)^4(0011)^4(000111)^4(00001111)^4\cdots,\end{aligned}$$

where $(\beta_0)^2 = \beta_0\beta_0$, $(01)^3 = 01\,01\,01$, $(0011)^4 = 0011\,0011\,0011\,0011$, etc. Let $S = \{\,\sigma^k(\tau_\beta) \mid \beta \in \Sigma_2, k \geq 0\,\}$. Then we easily obtain the following result (note that, in Σ_2, the longest distance between any two points is 1).

Theorem 6. *The set S is a dense uncountable invariant 1-scrambled set for σ which consists of transitive points.*

References

[1] L. S. Block and W. A. Coppel, *Dynamics in One Dimension,* Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1513, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[2] R. L. Devaney, *An introduction to chaotic dynamical systems,* 2nd edition, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA., 1989.

[3] B.-S. Du, Every chaotic interval map has a scrambled set in the recurrent set, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **39** (1989), 259-264.

[4] B.-S. Du, A simple proof of Sharkovsky's theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **111** (2004), 595-599.

[5] M. J. Evens, P. D. Humke, C.-M. Lee and R. J. O'malley, Characterizations of turbulent one-dimensional mappings via ω-limit sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **326** (1991), 261-280; Corrigendum: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **333** (1992), 939-940.

[6] T.-Y. Li and J. A. Yorke, Period three implies chaos, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **82** (1975), 985-992.